

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,766	03/19/2001	Appu Rao Gopala Rao Appu Rao	148920.00007	9295
7590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER		
THOMAS T MOGA			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 1901 L STREET N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 800		1654		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036		DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/811,766 APPU RAO ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Michael V. Meller 1654 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_ \_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 September 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.  $\boxtimes$  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  $\square$  will not be entered or b)  $\boxtimes$  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: -----Claim(s) rejected: 1-10, 12, 14-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: Michael V. Meller

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1654

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the product produced by the references and that of the instant invention cannot be the same but gives really no solid evidence of that. They allege that the references would not have the same amino acid makeup as the invention but show no evidence of this. Applicant argues also that the references do not teach the claimed characteristics but those characteristics would be inherent to the claimed products produced in the references since the same starting material and enzymes are used as the invention. Applicant also argues that the invention only brings about changes in the protein component of the substrate and argues that the reference teach changes in the carbohydrate and fat content in addition to the protein content of which applicant provides no evidence of.